

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/027,954	12/19/2001	Frank Venegas JR.	IDS-14602/14	2646	
25006	25006 7590 08/25/2006			EXAMINER	
GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE & CITKOWSKI, P.C			KATCHEVES, BASIL S		
PO BOX 702 TROY, MI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3635		

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/027,954	VENEGAS, FRANK	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Basil Katcheves	3635	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 J</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 is/are withdrawn from Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.2 and 4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the	or election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to by the E		
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		7.0.1011 07.10111.17.70 102.	
12)[_ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen	Rest Paur	Krower 8(21)06		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		

DETAILED ACTION

Pending claims 1, 2 and 4 are examined below. Claim 3 is withdrawn and claim 5 is canceled.

Drawings

The drawings were received on 6/6/06. These drawings showing the saddle weld are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,261,647 to Venegas, Jr. et al. in view of U. S. Patent No. 4,891,926 to Allenbaugh.

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Venegas, Jr. et al. discloses a guard rail assembly comprising a pair of vertical metal side members (22, Figure 2 and column 2, lines 49-50) covered by polymeric sheathing (26 and 30, Figure 2), a horizontal metal member (50, Figure 2 and column 2, lines 56-57) covered by polymeric sheathing (54, Figure 2, column 2, lines 57-58) spanning the two vertical members, the horizontal member has a length that extends beyond the outermost extent of the vertical side members (as best illustrated in Figure 1). The vertical and horizontal members are attached by way of

removable fastener (38, Figure 2 and column 3, lines 4-6). Venegas, Jr. et al. does not specify that the horizontal and vertical rails are connected with a saddle weld.

Allenbaugh discloses a guard rail assembly connected by welding (column 7, lines 64-66) into a saddle weld (fig. 4: welds between 100 to 84 and 86). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Venegas by using a saddle weld, as disclosed by Allenbaugh, in order to creat an impermeable seal and strengthen the bond between components 21 (left and right) to components 50 and 42, respectively.

Regarding claim 4, Venegas discloses the use of removable fasteners (38) for connecting the members.

Response to Arguments -

Applicant's arguments filed 6/6/06 have been fully considered but are most under new grounds of rejections necessitated by the applicant's amendment of 6/6/06.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/027,954

Art Unit: 3635

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Naoko Slack, can be reached at (571) 272-6848.

BK

Basil Katcheves

8/21/06

Primary Examiner, AU 3635